	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/872,502	RUTHERGLEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ronald Baum	2136
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ronald Baum</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Alessandro Steinfl</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 January 2008	Time: <u>13:30</u>	·
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a	·	
Claims discussed:		
1		,
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed with Mr. Steinfl the '101 problem with the phrase 'capable of' in claim 1. He authorized change to 'configured to be executed'.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
Anald Angel		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		